





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/239,907	01/29/1999	ANDREW MACCORMACK	858063.435	6683
500	7590 03/25/2003			
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			EXAMINER	
701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 6300 SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			BELIVEAU, SCOTT E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_	ζι
	Application No.	licant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/239,907	MACCORMACK ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Scott Beliveau	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	ş
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendme peal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to nt which places the application	a in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mabby The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f).	nis Advisory Action, or (2) the date ire later than SIX MONTHS from t VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTI	he mailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See	MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the complete timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3	od of extension and the correspone of the shortened statutory period Office later than three months afte	ding amount of the fee. The appropria for reply originally set in the final Office	ate extension ce action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		
(a)   they raise new issues that would require full	rther consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	te below);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application</li><li>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	on in better form for appeal l	by materially reducing or simpli	fying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand NOTE:	celing a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted	d in a separate, timely filed ame	endment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		en considered but does NOT pla	ace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	pecause it is not directed SC	DLELY to issues which were ne	wly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) □ approved or b) □	disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure States	ment(s)( PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
10. Other:	•		



Art Unit: 2614

## ADVISORY ACTION

## Specification

1. The substitute specification filed 3 March 2003 has been entered. The objection noted in the prior Advisory Action of 11 February 2003 is withdrawn.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 3 March 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As to applicant's remarks seeking to differentiate between the Dokic et al. and the instant invention with respect to "different area of PID memory is accessed" such a differentiation/limitation is not explicitly set forth in the claim 1. Claim 1 merely claims a "memory", but does not explicitly differentiate that a "different area of PID memory is accessed". One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that a buffer is a form of memory which stores data temporarily (IEEE 100: The authoritative dictionary of IEEE standards terms 7<sup>th</sup> edition).

As to applicant's remarks concerning "control information", the claimed language merely requires that "control information" is something that is "associated with the packet identifier". The examiner, subsequently, does not necessarily concede that the packet payload of a program table does not meet the recited limitation. However, it is further noted that the packet header may further comprise "control information" in the form of timing information (PCR) used in the decoding of the payload. The packet buffers [200/202] or "memory" are disclosed to store the entire transport packet comprising "control information"

Application/Control Number: 09/239,907

Art Unit: 2614

associated with the packet identifier" (Col 7, Lines 66-67 – Col 8, Lines 1-4). The reference goes on to suggest that either the "entire packet" or the payload may be forwarded from the "memory" (Col 9, Lines 39-43). The claim language is not limiting such that the "entire packet" comprising both the identifier and the "control information associated with the identifier" contained within the packet header may be "accessed" and "demultiplexed".

As noted by the applicant, claims 10, 11, and 20 recite similar limitations addressed as aforementioned.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Beliveau whose telephone number is 703-305-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 a.m. - 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on 703-305-4795. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding . should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

SEB March 18, 2003

JOHN MILLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

ZZle